

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 A61M16/04

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 7 A61M A61B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 1 389 436 A (CAMERON WILLIAM J) 30 August 1921 (1921-08-30) page 1, line 9 - line 26	1-12
Y	page 1, line 48 -page 2, line 18 figures 1-3 ---	13-15
Y	US 5 626 128 A (BRADLEY J WARREN ET AL) 6 May 1997 (1997-05-06) Whole document ---	1-15
Y	US 3 772 790 A (DESPAIN R ET AL) 20 November 1973 (1973-11-20) column 1, line 58 - line 62 column 2, line 51 - line 60 figure 1 ---	1-15
	-/-	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the International search

10 October 2003

Date of mailing of the International search report

05/11/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel: (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx: 31 651 epo nl
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Borowski, A

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5 941 246 A (ROOPCHAND ROLAND) 24 August 1999 (1999-08-24) column 1, line 19 - line 32 column 1, line 59 -column 2, line 30 claims 1-5; figures 1-3 -----	6
Y	WO 96 11029 A (OTTOSSON PAUL ; SWEDISH SOPHISTICATED EXPORT I (SE)) 18 April 1996 (1996-04-18) page 1, paragraph 1 page 1, paragraph 4 figures 6,6A -----	15
A	US 4 495 945 A (LIEGNER KENNETH B) 29 January 1985 (1985-01-29) Whole document -----	4, 6, 12, 15

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/ZA 03/00068

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. Claims Nos.: 16-18, 21 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
 - ✓ Rule 39.1(iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery: use of a medical tube guiding device for guiding an endo-tracheal tube into a mouth of a patient.
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 1389436	A	30-08-1921	NONE		
US 5626128	A	06-05-1997	NONE		
US 3772790	A	20-11-1973	NONE		
US 5941246	A	24-08-1999	NONE		
WO 9611029	A	18-04-1996	SE 504965 C2 AU 3714395 A SE 9403418 A WO 9611029 A1	02-06-1997 02-05-1996 11-04-1996 18-04-1996	
US 4495945	A	29-01-1985	NONE		